CS 5/7320 Artificial Intelligence

Probabilistic Reasoning AIMA Chapter 13

Slides by Michael Hahsler based on slides by Svetlana Lazepnik with figures from the AIMA textbook

[This work is licensed under a](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike [4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/).

السوالية

Sprinkler

 $\sqrt{(S=F)}$

 $0,9$

 $0,5$

ソップ

Cloudy

Probability Theory Recap

- Notation: Prob. of an event $P(X = x) = P(x)$ Prob. distribution $P(X) = \langle P(X = x_1), P(X = x_2), ..., P(X = x_n) \rangle$
- **Product rule** $P(x, y) = P(x|y)P(y)$
- Chain rule $P(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) = P(X_1)P(X_2|X_1)P(X_3|X_1, X_2) ...$ $= \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(X_i | X_1, ..., X_{i-1})$
- **Example 1** Conditional probability $P(x,y)$ $\frac{\overline{y}}{P(y)} = \alpha P(x, y)$
- **Independence**
	- \blacksquare $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y$: X, Y are independent (written as $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y$) if and only if: $\forall x. v: P(x, y) = P(x)P(y)$
	- \bullet X \perp Y|Z: X and Y are conditionally independent given Z if and only if: $\forall x, y, z: P(x, y|z) = P(x|z)P(y|z)$

Contents

 \mathbf{E} A type of graphical model.

凸 A way to specify dependence between random variables.

A general and important model to reason with uncertainty in AI.

Structure of Bayesian Networks

Arcs: Dependencies

- An arrow from one variable to another indicates direct influence.
- Show independence
	- *Weather* is independent of the other variables (no connection).
	- *Toothache* and *Catch* are conditionally independent given *Cavity* (directed arc).
- Must form a directed *acyclic* graph (DAG)

A network with all random variables assigned represents a **state of the system**.

Example: N independent coin flips

Complete independence: no interactions between coin flips

$$
P(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) = P(X_1)P(X_2) ... P(X_n)
$$

Joint probability
distribution
distribution
distribution

Example: Naïve Bayes spam filter

Random variables:

- C : message class (spam or not spam)
- $W_1, ..., W_n$: presence or absence of words comprising the message

Words depend on the class, but they are modeled conditional independent of each other given the class (= no direct connection between words).

 $P(W_1, W_2, ..., W_n | C) = P(W_1 | C) P(W_2 | C) ... P(W_n | C)$

Example: Burglar Alarm

- **Description**: I have a burglar alarm that is sometimes set off by minor earthquakes. My two neighbors, John and Mary, promised to call me at work if they hear the alarm
- Example inference task: suppose Mary calls and John doesn't call. What is the probability of a burglary?
- What are the random variables?
	- Burglary, Earthquake, Alarm, JohnCalls, MaryCalls
- What are the direct influence relationships?
	- A burglar can set off the alarm
	- An earthquake can set off the alarm
	- The alarm can cause Mary to call
	- The alarm can cause John to call

Example: Burglar Alarm as a Network

What are the model parameters?

Parameters: Conditional probability tables

To specify the full joint distribution, we need to specify a *conditional* distribution for each node given its parents as a conditional probability table (CPT): $P(X | Parents(X))$

Example: Burglar Alarm with CPTs

The joint probability distribution

- For each node X_i , we know $P(X_i \mid Parents(X_i))$
- How do we get the full joint distribution $P(X_1, ..., X_n)$?
- Using chain rule: $P(X_1, ..., X_n) = \begin{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$ $i=1$ $\frac{n}{2}$ $P(X_i|X_1, ..., X_{i-1}) =$ | $l=1$ $\frac{n}{2}$ $P(X_i | Parents(X_i))$

• Example:

 $P(J, M, A, B, E) = P(B) P(E) P(A | B, E) P(J | A) P(M | A)$

Dependence

• Example: *causal chain*

$$
\bigotimes\longrightarrow\bigotimes\longrightarrow\bigotimes
$$

X: Low pressure Y: Rain $Z:$ Traffic

• Are X and Z independent?

1. Conditioning: $P(X, Y, Z) = P(X)P(Y|X)P(Z|Y)$ 2. Marginalize over y: $P(X, Z) = \sum_{y} P(X)P(y|X)P(Z|y)$ $= P(X) \sum_{y} P(Z|y) P(y|X) \neq P(X) P(Z)$ X and Z are **not** independent!

Conditional independence

• Example: *causal chain*

- X: Low pressure
- Y: Rain
- Z: Traffic

• Is Z independent of X given Y?

= Definition of conditional

\n- 1. Conditioning:
$$
P(X, Z|Y) = \frac{P(X, Y, Z)}{P(Y)} = \frac{P(X)P(Y|X)P(Z|Y)}{P(Y)}
$$
 (independence) $= \frac{P(X) \frac{P(X|Y)P(Y)}{P(X)}P(Z|Y)}{P(Y)} = P(X|Y)P(Z|Y)$
\n- 2. Bayes' rule: $= \frac{P(X) \frac{P(X|Y)P(Y)}{P(X)}P(Z|Y)}{P(Y)} = P(X|Y)P(Z|Y)$ (independent given Y)
\n

Conditional independence cont.

• Common cause

Y: Project due X: Newsgroup busy $Z:$ Lab full

• Common effect

X: Raining Z: Ballgame

- Y: Traffic
- Are X and Z independent? • Yes
	- Are they conditionally independent given Y?

• No

- Are X and Z independent?
	- No
- Are they conditionally independent given Y?

• Yes

Compactness

- Suppose we have a Boolean variable X_i with k Boolean parents. How many rows does its conditional probability table have?
	- \cdot 2^k rows for all the combinations of parent values, each row requires one number p for X_i = true
- If each variable has no more than k parents, how many numbers does the complete network require?
	- $O(n \cdot 2^k)$ numbers vs. $O(2^n)$ for the full joint distribution
	- This reduces the complexity from exponential to linear in $n!$
- Example: How many nodes for the burglary network?

 $1 + 1 + 4 + 2 + 2 = 10$ numbers (vs. specification of the complete joint probability $2^5 - 1 = 31$)

Constructing Bayesian networks

- 1. Choose an ordering of variables X_1, \ldots, X_n
- 2. For $i = 1$ to n
	- add X_i to the network
	- select parents from X_1, \ldots, X_{i-1} such that $P(X_i | Parents(X_i)) = P(X_i | X_1, ... X_{i-1})$ that is, add a connection only from nodes it directly depends on.

Note: There are many ways to order the variables. Networks are typically constructed by domain experts with causality in mind. E.g., Fire causes Smoke:

The resulting network is sparse and conditional probabilities are easier to judge because they represent causal relationships.

A more realistic Bayes Network: Car diagnosis

Summary

- Bayesian networks provide a natural representation for joint probabilities used to calculated conditional probabilities used in inference.
- Conditional independence (induced by causality) reduces the number of needed parameters.

 $P(B, E, A, I, M)$ is defined by

- Representation
	- Topology
	- Conditional probability tables
	- Generally easy for domain experts to construct

Exact Inference

Goal

- Query *variables:*
- *Evidence* (*observed*) variables: $E = e$
- *Set of unobserved* variables:
- Calculate the probability of X given e .

If we know the full joint distribution $P(X, E, Y)$, we can infer X by:

$$
P(X|E = e) = \frac{P(X, e)}{P(e)} \propto \sum_{y} P(X, e, y)
$$

Sum over values of
unobservable variables =
marginalizing them out.

Exact inference: Example

Assume we can observe being called and the two variables have the values *j* and m . We want to know the probability of a burglary. **Query:** $P(B | j, m)$ with unobservable variables: Earthquake, Alarm

$$
P(b|j,m) = \frac{P(b,j,m)}{P(j,m)} \propto \sum_{e} \sum_{a} P(b,e,a,j,m)
$$
Full joint
=
$$
\sum_{e} \sum_{a} P(b)P(e)P(a|b,e)P(j|a)P(m|a)
$$
probability and marginalize over E and A

Issues with Exact Inference in AI

$$
P(X|E = e) = \frac{P(X, e)}{P(e)} \propto \sqrt{\sum_{y} \frac{P(X, e, y)}{P(Y, e, y)}}
$$

Problems

1. Full joint distributions are too/arge to store.

Bayes nets provide significant savings for representing the conditional probability structure.

2. Marginalizing out many unobservable variables Y may involve **too many summation terms**.

This summation is called **exact inference by enumeration**. Unfortunately, it does not scale well (#p-hard).

In praxis, **approximate inference by sampling** is used.

Approximate Inference in BN

Clou

Estimate the posterior probability given evidence

BN as a Generative Model

Bayesian networks can be used as *generative models.*

Allows us to efficiently generate samples from the joint distribution.

Idea: Generate samples from the network to estimate joint and conditional probability distributions.

Prior-Sample Algorithm to Create a Sample (Event)

function PRIOR-SAMPLE(bn) returns an event sampled from the prior specified by bn **inputs**: bn, a Bayesian network specifying joint distribution $P(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$

Estimating the Joint Probability Distribution

Sample *N* times and determine $N_{PS}(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, the count of how many times Prior-Sample produces event $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$.

$$
\hat{P}(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = \frac{N_{PS}(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)}{N}
$$

The marginal probability of partially specified event (some x values are known) can also be calculates. E.g.,

$$
\widehat{P}(x_1) = \frac{N_{PS}(x_1)}{N}
$$

Estimating Conditional Probabilities: Rejection sampling

Sample *N* times and **ignore the samples that are not consistent with the evidence e.**

$$
\widehat{P}(X|e) = \alpha N_{PS}(X,e) = \frac{N_{PS}(X,e)}{N_{PS}(e)}
$$

Issue: What if e is a rare event?

- Example: burglary ∧ earthquake
- Rejection sampling ends up throwing away most of the samples. This is very inefficient!

Estimating Conditional Probabilities: Rejection sampling

function REJECTION-SAMPLING(X, e, bn, N) returns an estimate of $P(X | e)$ **inputs:** X , the query variable **e**, observed values for variables **E** bn , a Bayesian network N , the total number of samples to be generated **local variables:** C , a vector of counts for each value of X , initially zero for $j = 1$ to N do We throw away many samples $\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \text{PRIOR-SAMPLE}(bn)$ if e is rare!**if x** is consistent with **e** then $C[j] \leftarrow C[j]+1$ where x_j is the value of X in **x** return NORMALIZE (C)

Estimating Conditional Probabilities: Importance sampling (likelihood weighting)

Goal: Avoid the need of rejection sampling to throw out samples.

Example: Evidence = it rains

1. Fix the evidence $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{e}$ for sampling and estimate the probably for the non-evidence variables using prior-sampling. $Q_{W\mathcal{S}}(x)$

2. Correct the probabilities using weights $P(x|e) = w(x)Q_{WS}(x)$

Turns out the weights in this case can be easily calculated

$$
w(x) = \frac{1}{P(e)} \prod_{i=1}^{m} P(e_i | parents(E_i))
$$

Estimating Conditional Probabilities: Markov Chain Monte Carlo Sampling (MCMC)

- **Generates a sequence of samples** instead of creating each sample individually from scratch.
- Create a state by making random changes to the current state. The sequence of states forms a random process called a **Markov Chain** (MC).
- The MCs stationary distribution turns out to be the posterior distribution of the non-evidence variables.
- Estimate the stationary distribution using **Monte Carlo** simulation by counting how often each state is reached and normalize to obtain probability estimates.
- Algorithms:
	- 1. Gibbs sampling (works well for BNs)
	- 2. Metropolis-Hastings sampling

Note: Simulated annealing belongs to the family of MCMC algorithms.

Gibbs sampling in Bayes Networks

• $mb(Z_i)$ is the Markov blanket of random variable Z_i (all variables it can be dependent of, i.e., parents, children and parents of children).

$$
P(z_i|mb(Z_i)) = \alpha P(z_i|parents(Z_i)) \prod_{Y_i \in children(X_i)} P(y_i|parents(Y_j))
$$

Gibbs Sampling: Example

Find

 $P(Rain | Sprinkler = true, WetGrass = true).$

Determine states and calculate transition probabilities of the Markov chain for changing one variable using $P(z_i | mb(Z_i)).$

The algorithm randomly wanders around in this graph using the stated transition probabilities.

Assume that we observe 20 states with $Rain = true$ and 60 with $rain = false$: $NORMALIZE(\langle 20,60 \rangle) = \langle 0.25, 0.75 \rangle$

 $P(Rain | Sprinkler = true, WetGrass = true) \approx$ 0.75

Note the self-loops: the state stays the same when either variable is chosen and then resamples the same value it already has.

Conclusion

- Bayesian networks provide an efficient way to store a complete probabilistic model by exploiting (conditional) independence between variables.
- Inference means querying the model for a conditional probability given some evidence.
- Exact inference is difficult, for all but tiny models.
- State of the art is to use approximate inference by sampling from the model.
- Software libraries provide general inference engines.